ON LOGARITHMIC RETINAE

Daniel Raviv

Florida Atlantic University
The Robotics Center and
The Electrical Engineering Department
Boca Raton, Florida
and
Sensory Intelligent Group
Robot Systems Division

Don Orser

Sensory Intelligence Group Robot Systems Division

Dr. James S. Albus

Robot Systems Division

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Technology Administration National Institute of Standards and Technology Robot Systems Division Bldg. 220 Rm. B124 Gaithersburg, MD 20899



1. INTRODUCTION

This paper describes nonlinear retinal mappings that simplify motion measurements of points in 3-D space. Assuming translational motion of a camera whose optical axis coincides with the direction of motion and a stationary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular surface produce a constant value of some nonlinear function of the image optical flow. Four sets of surfaces are introduced, each of which corresponds to one optical-flow-based constant value (invariant). This means that the nonlinear function associated with a particular surface will result in the same value of optical flow function for all points on that surface, independent of where the points are in the image.

The nonlinear functions of optical flow can be implemented using multi-resolution (usually logarithmic) foveal-peripheral retinae and hence no computations are required. We list the four different surfaces that correspond to the 1-D parameterizations of 3-D space:

- (1) Constant Range Surface: This surface corresponds to points in 3-D that lie at a constant range from the camera pinhole point, i.e., points lying on a sphere centered at the camera pinhole.
- (2) Constant Depth Surface: This surface corresponds to points in 3-D which lie in the plane perpendicular to the optical axis of the camera, and are also referred to as constant time to contact points [LEE, RAVIV1].
- (3) Constant Looming Surface: Looming of a point is defined [RAVIV2] as $-\dot{r}/r$ where r is the distance of the point from the observer and dot corresponds to the derivative with respect to time. It is a measure of an obstacle's collision threat. Points of constant looming lie on a sphere passing through the center of the camera pinhole point. The diameter of the sphere coincides with the translational motion vector of the camera.
- (4) Constant Clearance Surface: This surface corresponds to points in 3-D which lie on a cylinder whose axis coincides with the translational vector i.e., points in 3-D which have a constant radial distance (or "clearance") from the camera [RAVIV1, ALBUS].

The analysis takes place in a spherical $(R-\Theta-\Phi)$ coordinate system. In this representation, since we deal with translation only along the optical axis, the projection of any 3-D point in this coordinate system moves along a constant ϕ radial line and can be processed independently of any other point.

Similar ideas have been documented in a number of places, e.g., [WEIMAN, FISHER, MESSNER, SANDINI], for the "log-polar" transform. The log-polar

are derived. Based on geometrical properties, the time-dependent invariants are shown as a function of optical flow. The geometrical interpretations of all invariants as 1-dimensional parameterizations are shown at the bottom of Figure 5.

- 1. The constant range invariant $\frac{1}{\tau_R} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin{\theta}}$. All points in 3-D space that lie on a sphere whose center is the pinhole point of the camera share this invariant, i.e., have the same τ_R . The meaning of this invariant is that the modified optical flow $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin{\theta}}$ is the same for all points on a sphere (except those which are on the axis motion of the camera). Points inside the sphere ("close" points) produce higher values of $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin{\theta}}$ and points outside the sphere ("far" points) produce smaller values of $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin{\theta}}$. Hence, it is possible to find to within a scale factor the range of a point by simply calculating this value.
- 2. The constant looming invariant $\frac{1}{\tau_S} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\tan{\theta}}$. All points in 3-D space that lie on a sphere which lies in front of the camera share this invariant, i.e., have the same τ_S . The sphere diameter coincides with the optical axis of the camera, and the camera lies on the sphere's surface. In this case the diameter of the sphere $\frac{r}{\cos{\theta}}$ is constant, and so, using Equation (1), $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\tan{\theta}}$ remains constant. It has been shown by [RAVIV2] that all points on a particular sphere result in the same visual "looming".
- 3. The constant clearance invariant $\frac{1}{\tau_C} = \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin^2 \theta}$. All points in 3-D space that lie on a cylindrical surface whose axis coincides with the camera translational motion vector share this invariant, i.e., have the same τ_C .
- 4. The constant depth (time to contact) invariant $\frac{1}{\tau_P} = \frac{2\theta}{\sin 2\theta}$. All points in 3-D space that lie on a plane which is perpendicular to the direction of motion of the camera, share this invariant, i.e., have the same τ_P . τ_P is the "time to contact" as described by [LEE]. It tells the animal how to control its motion in order to avoid collision.

4. VARIABLE RESOLUTION RETINAE

In this section we show how these invariants may be exploited by an appropriate "retina" to normalize optical flow. For purposes here, it is immaterial whether this retina is implemented optically, as spatially variant receptor arrays, or by explicit non-linear subpixel sampling.

[ORSER] gives additional motivation as well as describing a binocular wire frame scene simulator which gives simulated results for all four retinae.

For each invariant we show the corresponding structure of the retina. Range, depth, looming and clearance normalizations correspond to the previously described range, depth, looming and clearance invariants, respectively.

4.1. RANGE NORMALIZATION

Equation (1) describes the basic relationship between range, velocity and optical flow for a forward translating camera. First we rewrite it as:

$$\frac{d\theta}{\sin\theta} = \frac{v}{r}dt,\tag{2}$$

Another way of rewriting Equation (1) is

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln(\tan\frac{\theta}{2}) = \frac{v}{r} \tag{3}$$

When integrating both sides of Equation (2) (for fixed r and v) we obtain:

$$\ln \tan \frac{\theta}{2} - \ln \tan \frac{\theta_0}{2} = \frac{v(t - t_0)}{r} \tag{4}$$

The meaning of Equations (3) and (4) is that a $ln(tan\frac{\theta}{2})$ retina will produce the same optical flow for all points that lie at the same distance from the observer, i.e., $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta}$ will be measured linearly. For the $ln(tan\frac{\theta}{2})$ retina, the optical flow magnitude is in a simple inverse relationship to range.

We call this remapping range normalization, since the magnitude of optical flow values will be equal if and only if they are generated by points having the same range.

Figure 6a shows 3-D points which are at the same range from the moving observer. The optical flow $\dot{\theta}$ generated in the image plane by these points (for a forward translating camera) is shown in Figure 6b. Note that the optical flow values vary from one point to another as a function of radial angle θ . However, the range-normalized optical flow for these points $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta}$ is identical for all the points (Figure 6c)

and is generated directly by a $\ln(\tan\frac{\theta}{2})$ retina.

If ϕ and θ are plotted against each other, the resulting representation of the sphere is called the isometric plane. Figure 6d depicts the optical flow in this manner while figure 6e is the range-normalized optical flow using an analogous logarithmic isometric plane representation.

The nonlinear radial displacement as a function of retinal eccentricity and resolution elements per unit of eccentricity for the range normalizing retina are shown as the solid and dashed lines of Figure 6f, respectively.

4.2. DEPTH NORMALIZATION

Instead of keeping range r constant, as in the preceding section, it may be desirable to keep depth (i.e., the projection of range onto the optical axis) constant. Points at a common depth X from the camera-retina are parameterized by θ and in terms of range r are given by:

$$X = r \cos \theta \qquad 0 \le \theta \le 90^{\circ}. \tag{5}$$

Hence by dividing Equation (1) by $\cos \theta$, we get:

$$\frac{v}{r\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{\cos\theta} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta} \tag{6}$$

or:

$$\frac{v}{r\cos\theta}dt = \frac{2}{\sin 2\theta}d\theta\tag{7}$$

Another way of writing Equation (6) is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln(\tan\theta) = \frac{v}{r\cos\theta} \tag{8}$$

After integrating both sides of Equation (7) we obtain:

$$\ln \tan \theta - \ln \tan \theta_0 = \frac{v}{r \cos \theta} (t - t_0) \tag{9}$$

The meaning of Equations (8) and (9) is that a $\ln(\tan\theta)$ retina will produce the same optical flow for all points that lie at the same depth $\frac{v}{r\cos\theta}$ from the observer, i.e., $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta\cos\theta}$ (which equals $\frac{2\dot{\theta}}{\sin2\theta}$) will be measured linearly.

For the $ln(tan\theta)$ retina, the optical flow is in a simple inverse relationship to depth.

We call this remapping *depth normalization*, since the magnitude of optical flow values will be equal if and only if they are generated by points having the same depth.

Figure 7a shows 3-D points which lie in the same depth from the moving observer. The optical flow $\dot{\theta}$ generated by these points is shown in Figure 7b. The normalized optical flow for points in 3-D with the same range $\frac{2\dot{\theta}}{\sin 2\theta}$ is identical for all the points (Figure 7c). This value is measured directly by a $\ln(\tan \theta)$ retina.

The isometric plane and logarithmic isometric plane representations are shown in Figures 7d and 7e, respectively.

The nonlinear radial displacement as a function of retinal eccentricity and resolution elements per unit of eccentricity for the depth normalizing retina are shown as the solid and dashed lines of Figure 7f, respectively.

This depth normalization is the spherical projection analog of the log-polar transform [WEIMAN, FISHER].

4.3. LOOMING NORMALIZATION

Spheres of constant looming have been discussed in [RAVIV2] and refer to points at range r lying on a sphere whose diameter is given by $r/\cos\theta$. Looming normalization refers to the mapping of the spherical projection in such a way that optical flow values of two points are equal if and only if they lie on the same sphere of constant looming.

These points of the sphere can be parameterized by the reciprocal of the radius of the sphere in terms of θ and range r as:

$$\frac{1}{radius \ of \ sphere} = \frac{\cos \theta}{r} , \qquad 0 \le \theta \le 90^{\circ}. \tag{10}$$

Hence by multiplying Equation (1) by $\cos \theta$, we get:

$$\frac{v \cos \theta}{r} = \cos \theta \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin \theta} \tag{11}$$

or

$$\frac{v \cos \theta}{r} dt = \frac{d\theta}{\tan \theta} \tag{12}$$

Equation (11) can also be rewritten as:

$$\frac{d}{dt}\ln(\sin\theta) = \frac{v \cos\theta}{r} \tag{13}$$

After integrating both sides of Equation (12) we obtain:

$$\ln \sin \theta - \ln \sin \theta_0 = \frac{v \cos \theta}{r} (t - t_0) \tag{14}$$

The meaning of Equations (13) and (14) is that a $\ln(\sin\theta)$ retina will produce the same optical flow for all points that lie on the same looming sphere, i.e., $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\tan\theta}$ will be measured linearly.

For the $ln(sin\theta)$ retina, the optical flow produced by it has a simple direct relationship to the looming sphere on which it lies.

We call this remapping *looming normalization*, since the magnitude of optical flow values will be equal if and only if they are generated by points having the same looming value.

Figure 8a shows 3-D points which lie in the same looming sphere. The optical flow $\dot{\theta}$ generated by these points is shown in Figure 8b. The normalized optical flow for these points, $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\tan \theta}$, is identical for all the points (Figure 8c). This value is measured directly by a $\ln(\sin \theta)$ retina.

The isometric plane and logarithmic isometric plane are shown in Figures 8d and 8e.

The nonlinear radial displacement as a function of retinal eccentricity and resolution elements per unit of eccentricity for the looming normalizing retina are shown as the solid and dashed lines of Figure 8f, respectively.

4.4. CLEARANCE NORMALIZATION

In the situation in which the camera-retina is translating along a straight line, the prediction of obstacles adjacent to this line which it will not "clear" is desirable. The locus of these points for a constant radius of lateral clearance is a cylinder whose axis is collinear with the axis of translation. [ALBUS, RAVIV1]

A point at range r will lie on the cylinder whose radius is given by $r \sin \theta$. Hence $\frac{v}{r \sin \theta}$ is constant for *all* points on the cylinder, and so by dividing Equation (1) by $\sin \theta$ we have:

$$\frac{v}{r\sin\theta} = \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin\theta} \tag{15}$$

or

$$\frac{v}{r\sin\theta}dt = \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta}d\theta\tag{16}$$

Another way of writing Equation (15) is:

$$-\frac{d}{dt}\cot\theta = \frac{v}{r\sin\theta} \tag{17}$$

By integrating both sides of Equation (16) we obtain:

$$\frac{v}{r \sin \theta}(t - t_0) = -(\cot \theta - \cot \theta_0) \tag{18}$$

The meaning of Equations (17) and (18) is that a $\cot \theta$ retina will produce the same optical flow for all points that lie at the same radial distance from the moving observer, i.e., $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin^2 \theta}$ will be measured linearly.

Note that this retina is the only one which is not logarithmic. However, it can be written as a logarithmic one by using the identity:

$$\cot \theta = \ln e^{\cot \theta} \tag{19}$$

The optical flow produced by the $\cot \theta$ retina is directly proportional to the inverse of the radius of the cylinder, i.e., the clearance the object has with respect to the trajectory of the camera.

We call this remapping *clearance normalization*, since the magnitude of optical flow values will be equal if and only if they are generated by points having the same clearance (radial distance).

Figure 9a shows 3-D points which lie in the same cylinder surrounding the observer (each point on the cylinder has the same distance from the translation axis). The optical flow $\dot{\theta}$ generated by these points is shown in Figure 9b. Note that the optical flow values vary from one point to another. However, the clearance normalized optical flow for these points $\frac{\dot{\theta}}{\sin^2\theta}$ is identical for all the points (Figure 9c). This value is measured directly by a $\cot \theta$ retina.

The isometric plane and logarithmic isometric plane representations for the unnormalized and clearance normalized optical flow is shown in figures 9d and 9e respectively.

The nonlinear radial displacement as a function of retinal eccentricity and resolution elements per unit of eccentricity for the clearance normalizing retina are shown as the solid and dashed lines of Figure 9f, respectively.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper we have shown several retinal mappings. They are extensions of the well known log-polar retina as described by [WEIMAN, SANDINI] and others. Each retina described in this paper allows simple and direct measurements of a function of optical flow. A value of this function corresponds to a 3-D surface.

REFERENCES

- [ALBUS] Albus, James S., "Outline for a Theory of Intelligence," IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Vol 21, No. 3, May/June 1991.
- [FISHER] T. E. Fisher and R. D. Juday, "A Programmable Video Image Remapper," *Proceedings of SPIE*, Vol. 938, 1988, pp. 122-128.
- [LEE] D. N. Lee, "The Optic Flow Field: the Foundation of Vision," *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond.*, Series B, Vol. 290, 1980, pp. 169-179.
- [MESSNER] Richard A. Messner and Harold H. Szu, "An Image Processing Architecture for Real Time Generation of Scale and Rotation Invariant Patterns," Computer Vision, Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 31, 1985, pp. 50-66.
- [ORSER] Orser, Don J., "Binocular Spherical Disparity: A Study in Representation for a Forward Translating Camera," National Institute of Standards and Technology, in preparation.
- [RAVIV1] Raviv, D. "Invariants in Visual Motion," National Institute of Standards and Technology, Technical Report NISTIR 4722, 1991.
- [RAVIV2] Raviv, D., "A Quantitative Approach to Looming," National Institute of Standards and technology, Technical Report NISTIR 4808, 1992.
- [SANDINI] Sandini, Giulio, "An Anthropomorphic Retina-like Structure for Scene Analysis," Computer Graphics and Image processing, Vol. 14, 1980, pp. 365-372.
- [WEIMAN] Carl F. R. Weiman and George Chaikin, "Logarithmic Spiral Grids for Image Processing and Display," Computer Graphics and Image Processing, Vol. 11, 1979, pp. 197-226.




Figure 1a: OPTICAL FLOW RELATIVE TO THE FOCUS OF EXPANSION (FOE)




Figure 1b: OPTICAL FLOW RELATIVE TO THE FOCUS OF CONTRACTION (FOC)




Figure 2: R-\text{-}(




Figure 3: THE Θ - Φ IMAGE DOMAIN




Figure 4: GEOMETRY FOR CONSTANT Φ RADIAL LINE

Basic Relationship	notation of invariant	geometrical property of invariance	invariant in terms of optical flow	geometrical interpretation in 2-D	geometrical interpretation in 3-D
e sin 9	TC	r sin 0	$\left(\frac{\theta}{\sin\theta}\right)\frac{1}{\sin\theta}$ $=\frac{\theta}{\sin^2\theta}$	v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	
V = r	⊢ L	L	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \\ \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta}$	circle	v v spheres
	1 s	r eos θ	$\left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \right) \cos \theta \\ = \frac{\theta}{\tan \theta}$	circle	v spheres
	⊣ ±	r cos θ	$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\theta}{\sin \theta} \end{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\cos \theta}$ $= \frac{2 \theta}{\sin 2\theta}$	line	planes

Figure 5: SUMMARY OF THE INVARIANTS




Figure 6a: EQUAL RANGE POINTS





Figure 6b: OPTICAL FLOW GENERATED BY POINTS WITH CONSTANT RANGE








Figure 6d: ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF OPTICAL FLOW



RANGE-NORMALIZED OPTICAL FLOW Figure 6e: ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF






Figure 7a: EQUAL DEPTH POINTS




Figure 7b: OPTICAL FLOW GENERATED BY POINTS WITH CONSTANT DEPTH




Figure 7c: DEPTH NORMALIZATION OF OPTICAL FLOW






Figure 7d: ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF OPTICAL FLOW










Figure 8a: EQUAL LOOMING POINTS








Figure 8d: ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF OPTICAL FLOW





Figure 8e: ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF LOOMING-NORMALIZED OPTICAL FLOW








Figure 9a: EQUAL CLEARANCE POINTS




Figure 9b: OPTICAL FLOW GENERATED BY POINTS ON A CYLINDER




Figure 9c: CLEARANCE NORMALIZA'I






Figure 9d: ISOMETRIC REPRESENTATION OF OPTICAL FLOW







NIST-114A (REV. 3-90)

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY 1. PUBLICATION OF REPORT NUMBER NISTIR 4807

	OGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	2. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBE
	Janafing Data Sheet	3. PUBLICATION DATE APRIL 1992
ITLE AND SUBTITLE		
On Logarithmic H	Retinae	
UTHOR(S)		
	Orser, and James S. Albus	
erforming organization (ii .s. department of commerc	' JOHT OR OTHER THAN NIST, SEE INSTRUCTIONS)	7. CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER
ational distitute of stand aithersburg, no 2000		8. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED
PONSORING ORGANIZATION N	ASS AND COMPLETE ADDRESS (STREET, CITY, STATE, 20)	
en e		
UPPLEMENTARY NOTES		
en e		
	SE FACTURE SUMMARY OF MOST SIGNIFICANT INFORMATIO	N. IF DOCUMENT SECTIONS & SAME SECTION OF SE
	This paper suggests several iconic image "we which facilitate computationally inexpensive mean points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular scene.	surements of moving 3-D nal motion of the camera, of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce
	which facilitate computationally inexpensive measure points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a partical a constant value for some nonlinear function of the tion need not be computed after the image is from implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e. resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets introduced and there is one optical-flow-based conface. We call these values "invariants". An invariants a 3-D surface. For each invariant a lowhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to the process of image remapping, called "for four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging projection and foveal peripheral resolution is detail flow. Computer simulation demonstrates	surements of moving 3-D hal motion of the camera, h of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce he optical flow. This func- formed, but rather can be h, via non-linear variable- h of different surfaces are histant value for each sur- ariant, which is a scalar, garithmic retina is defined have identical values. hormalization'', is defined depth, looming and clear- g model utilizing spherical scribed for analyzing opti- how the new suggested
	which facilitate computationally inexpensive measure points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a partical a constant value for some nonlinear function of the tion need not be computed after the image is formulated in the image is formulated by hardware at the retinal level, i.e. resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets introduced and there is one optical-flow-based conface. We call these values "invariants". An invariants a 3-D surface. For each invariant a lowhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to the process of image remapping, called "infor four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging projection and foveal peripheral resolution is decal flow. Computer simulation demonstrates retinae normalize the optical flow with respect to terizations.	surements of moving 3-D hal motion of the camera, h of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce he optical flow. This func- formed, but rather can be have identical values. Informalization'', is defined have identical values. Informalization'', is defined here identical values opti- how the new suggested here each one of the parame-
	which facilitate computationally inexpensive measure points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a partic a constant value for some nonlinear function of the tion need not be computed after the image is formulated in the image is formulated by hardware at the retinal level, i.e. resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets introduced and there is one optical-flow-based conface. We call these values "invariants". An invariants a 3-D surface. For each invariant a low which will cause otical flow on these surfaces to the process of image remapping, called "information for four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging projection and foveal peripheral resolution is decal flow. Computer simulation demonstrates retinae normalize the optical flow with respect to terizations.	surements of moving 3-D hal motion of the camera, h of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce he optical flow. This func- formed, but rather can be have identical values. Informalization'', is defined have identical values. Informalization'', is defined here identical values opti- how the new suggested here each one of the parame-
	which facilitate computationally inexpensive measure points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a partical a constant value for some nonlinear function of the tion need not be computed after the image is formulated in the image is formulated by hardware at the retinal level, i.e. resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets introduced and there is one optical-flow-based conface. We call these values "invariants". An invariants a 3-D surface. For each invariant a lowhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to the process of image remapping, called "infor four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging projection and foveal peripheral resolution is decal flow. Computer simulation demonstrates retinae normalize the optical flow with respect to terizations.	surements of moving 3-D hal motion of the camera, h of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce he optical flow. This func- formed, but rather can be have identical values. Informalization'', is defined have identical values. Informalization'', is defined here identical values opti- how the new suggested here each one of the parame-
	which facilitate computationally inexpensive measure points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a partic a constant value for some nonlinear function of the tion need not be computed after the image is formulated in the image is formulated by hardware at the retinal level, i.e. resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets introduced and there is one optical-flow-based conface. We call these values "invariants". An invariants a 3-D surface. For each invariant a low which will cause otical flow on these surfaces to the process of image remapping, called "information for four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging projection and foveal peripheral resolution is decal flow. Computer simulation demonstrates retinae normalize the optical flow with respect to terizations.	surements of moving 3-D hal motion of the camera, h of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce he optical flow. This func- formed, but rather can be have identical values. Informalization'', is defined have identical values. Informalization'', is defined here identical values opti- how the new suggested here each one of the parame-
	which facilitate computationally inexpensive measure points relative to a camera. Assuming translation where the optical axis coincides with the direction ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a partic a constant value for some nonlinear function of the tion need not be computed after the image is formulated in the image is formulated by hardware at the retinal level, i.e. resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets introduced and there is one optical-flow-based conface. We call these values "invariants". An invariants a 3-D surface. For each invariant a low which will cause otical flow on these surfaces to the process of image remapping, called "information for four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging projection and foveal peripheral resolution is decal flow. Computer simulation demonstrates retinae normalize the optical flow with respect to terizations.	surements of moving 3-D hal motion of the camera, of motion, and a station- cular 3-D surface produce he optical flow. This func- formed, but rather can be have identical values. Informalization'', is defined have identical values. Informalization'', is defined here identical values opti- how the new suggested here ach one of the parame-

MICT-114A		*	
NIST-114A (REV. 3-90)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY	1. PUBLICATION OR REPORT NUMBER NISTIR 4807	
	BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	2. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUM	
	BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET	3. PUBLICATION DATE	
. TITLE AND SUBTITL		APRIL 1992	
On Logar	ithmic Retinae		
AUTHOR(S)			
	, Don J. Orser, and James S. Albus		
U.S. DEPARTMENT (NIZATION (IF JOINT OR OTHER THAN NIST, SEE INSTRUCTIONS) OF COMMERCE E OF STANDARDS AND TECHNOLOGY	7. CONTRACT/GRANT NUMBER	
GAITHERSBURG, MI	20899	8. TYPE OF REPORT AND PERIOD COVERED	
. ABSTRACT (A 200-W	ORD OR LESS FACTUAL SUMMARY OF MOST SIGNIFICANT INFORMATION. IF DOCUMENTION IT MERE!		
LITERATURE SURVE		MENT INCLUDES A SIGNIFICANT BIBLIOGRAPHY	
	,,		
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements	or remappings,	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings",	or remappings, of moving 3-D	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station-	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical file.	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce ow. This func-	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fit tion need not be computed after the image is formed, but	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- surface produce ow. This func- rather can be	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical file.	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- surface produce ow. This func- rather can be linear variable-	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fition need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differer introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value.	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce ow. This func- rather can be inear variable- nt surfaces are e for each sur-	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fit tion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differe introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, which	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce ow. This func- rather can be tinear variable- nt surfaces are the for each sur- ch is a scalar,	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fit tion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differe introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, whi describes a 3-D surface. For each invariant a logarithmic re-	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- surface produce ow. This func- rather can be tinear variable- nt surfaces are te for each sur- ch is a scalar, tina is defined	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fit tion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differe introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, whi describes a 3-D surface. For each invariant a logarithmic rewhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to have identicated.	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- surface produce ow. This func- rather can be timear variable- nt surfaces are te for each sur- ch is a scalar, tetina is defined cal values.	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fittion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differer introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, which describes a 3-D surface. For each invariant a logarithmic rewhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to have identice. The process of image remapping, called "normalization."	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce ow. This func- rather can be linear variable- nt surfaces are the for each sur- ch is a scalar, that is defined cal values. on', is defined	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fit tion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differe introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, whi describes a 3-D surface. For each invariant a logarithmic rewhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to have identicated.	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce ow. This func- rather can be inear variable- nt surfaces are e for each sur- ch is a scalar, etina is defined cal values. on", is defined ning and clear-	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fit tion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differe introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, whi describes a 3-D surface. For each invariant a logarithmic rewhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to have identiced for four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, depth, loon ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging model utiliprojection and foveal peripheral resolution is described for	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, , and a station- urface produce ow. This func- rather can be linear variable- nt surfaces are e for each sur- ch is a scalar, etina is defined cal values. on', is defined ning and clear- lizing spherical analyzing opti-	
	This paper suggests several iconic image "warpings", which facilitate computationally inexpensive measurements of points relative to a camera. Assuming translational motion where the optical axis coincides with the direction of motion ary scene, points in 3-D space that lie on a particular 3-D s a constant value for some nonlinear function of the optical fittion need not be computed after the image is formed, but implemented by hardware at the retinal level, i.e., via non-resolution (usually logarithmic) retina. Four sets of differe introduced and there is one optical-flow-based constant value face. We call these values "invariants". An invariant, whi describes a 3-D surface. For each invariant a logarithmic rewhich will cause otical flow on these surfaces to have identicated for four 1-D parameterizations of space: range, depth, loon ance. For each invariant a camera-retina imaging model utility	or remappings, of moving 3-D of the camera, and a station- urface produce ow. This func- rather can be timear variable- int surfaces are e for each sur- ch is a scalar, etina is defined cal values. on', is defined ching and clear- lizing spherical analyzing opti- new suggested	

13. AVAILABILITY

terizations.

Visual motion; Logarithmic Retinae; Computer Vision

12. KEY WORDS (6 TO 12 ENTRIES; ALPHABETICAL ORDER; CAPITALIZE ONLY PROPER NAMES; AND SEPARATE KEY WORDS BY SEMICOLONS)

FOR OFFICIAL DISTRIBUTION. DO NOT RELEASE TO NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE (NTIS).

ORDER FROM SUPERINTENDENT OF DOCUMENTS, U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE, WASHINGTON, DC 20402.

ORDER FROM NATIONAL TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICE (NTIS), SPRINGFIELD, VA 22161.

14. NUMBER OF PRINTED PAGES

43

A03

15. PRICE